

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/635,832 08/09/2000		Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/182002/US3413D1	6795	
7	590 07/03/2002				
Scott C Harris Fish & Richardson PC 601 13th Street NW			EXAMINER		
			TOLEDO, FERNANDO L		
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	A II di a Na	10 11 11			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/635,832	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Fernando Toledo	2823			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S	eptember 2001 .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 and 38 is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-37 and 39-56</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers  O∖M The experimental in chicated to but the Everyiner					
<ul><li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner</li><li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 2000</u> is/are: a</li></ul>		v the Everniner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No. <u>08/931,697</u> .			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Burn</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a) The translation of the foreign language prov					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1 17 and 38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 18 37 and 39 56 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

# Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 18 - 37 and 39 - 56 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims

1 – 37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,218,714 B1 in view of Vu et al. (U. S. patent 5,807,771). The U. S. patent 6,218,714 B1 claims the instant application.

However, U. S. patent 6,218,714 B1 does not teach wherein the channel forming region, the source and drain region and the gate electrode are formed on a thin insulating layer.

Vu, in the U. S. patent 5,807,771; figures 1 – 9 and related text disclose forming a CMOS (i.e. FET) wherein the control region 16, the source and drain region, 56 and the gate electrode 48 are formed on an thin insulating layer 14 (i.e. SOI) because it is recognized that resistance radiation effects and destruction can be improved by fabricating devices on SOI substrates (column 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of U. S. patent 6,218,714 B1 on a thin insulator layer (i.e. SOI substrate), since, as disclosed by Vu, it is recognized that resistance radiation effects and destruction can be improved by fabricating devices on SOI substrates.

4. Claims 18 – 37 and 39 – 56 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,127,702 in view of Vu et al. (U. S. patent 5,807,771). The U. S. patent 6,127,702 claims the instant application.

However, the U. S. patent 6,127,702 does not disclose wherein the device is a CMOS devices.

Vu discloses that a CMOS on a SOI substrate have low power consumption, which are needed to exploit the VLSI fabrication techniques.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a CMOS device in a SOI substrate in the invention of U. S. patent 6,127,702; since as taught by Vu, CMOS on a SOI substrate have low power consumption, which are needed to exploit the VLSI fabrication techniques.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fernando Toledo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0567. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8am – 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Fernando Toledo
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2823

ft June 25, 2002

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER (\*\*)